



Conagra Brands Inc

# 2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

**Important: this export excludes unanswered questions**

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

# Contents

<b>C1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>6</b>
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response? .....	6
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response. ....	6
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization. ....	6
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.....	6
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period? .....	7
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary. ....	7
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)? .....	7
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate. ....	8
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities? .....	8
(1.11) Are greenhouse gas emissions and/or water-related impacts from the production, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or the consumption of your products relevant to your current CDP disclosure? .....	8
(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source. ....	10
<b>C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities .....</b>	<b>14</b>
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? .....	14
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts? .....	14
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities? .....	14
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.....	15
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health? .....	21
<b>C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities.....</b>	<b>22</b>
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	22
(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent? .....	22

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? .....	24
<b>C4. Governance .....</b>	<b>26</b>
(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body? .....	26
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization? .....	26
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.....	27
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?.....	30
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).....	31
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?.....	36
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies. ....	37
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives? .....	40
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment? .....	40
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response? .....	40
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication. ....	41
<b>C5. Business strategy .....</b>	<b>42</b>
(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?.....	42
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?.....	42
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.....	43
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning. ....	43
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues? .....	44
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment? .....	45
<b>C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach.....</b>	<b>46</b>
(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.....	46
<b>C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change .....</b>	<b>48</b>
(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?.....	48

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	48
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year? .....	49
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions. ....	49
(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.....	50
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?.....	50
(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure. ....	50
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions. ....	55
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e? .....	56
(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e? .....	56
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions. ....	57
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.....	62
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements. ....	62
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements. ....	63
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year? .....	66
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure? .....	66
(7.13) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?.....	66
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?.....	66
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area. ....	66
(7.18) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure?.....	67
(7.18.2) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category. ....	67
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response? .....	68
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?.....	68
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken. ....	68
(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.....	69
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel. ....	71

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.....	71
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year. ....	72
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations. ....	74
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year? .....	75
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.....	75
(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets. ....	79
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?.....	82
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.....	82
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?.....	85
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products? .....	85
(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products. ....	85
(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?.....	85

**C8. Environmental performance - Forests..... 86**

(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?.....	86
(8.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions. ....	86
(8.6) Does your organization produce or source palm oil derived biofuel? .....	92
(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year? .....	92
(8.7.1) Provide details on your no-deforestation or no-conversion target that was active during the reporting year. ....	94
(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities.....	96
(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain? .....	97

**C9. Environmental performance - Water security ..... 98**

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?.....	98
(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions. ....	98
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change? .....	99

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change. ....	100
(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member? .....	101
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?.....	101
(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets? .....	102
(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future? .....	102

## C1. Introduction

### (1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

### (1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

USD

### (1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

#### (1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

#### (1.3.3) Description of organization

*Conagra Brands, Inc. (NYSE: CAG), is one of North America's leading branded food companies. We combine a 100-year history of making quality food with agility and a relentless focus on collaboration and innovation. The company's portfolio is continuously evolving to satisfy consumers' ever-changing food preferences. Conagra's brands include Birds Eye®, Duncan Hines®, Healthy Choice®, Marie Callender's®, Reddi-wip®, Slim Jim®, Angie's® BOOMCHICKAPOP®, and many more. As a corporate citizen, we aim to do what's right for our business, our employees, our communities and the world. Headquartered in Chicago, Conagra Brands generated fiscal 2025 net sales of nearly \$12 billion. For more information, visit [www.conagrabrands.com](http://www.conagrabrands.com)*

### (1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	05/26/2024	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?**

12050900000

**(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.**

**(1.5.1) Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?**

Select from:

No

**(1.5.2) How does your reporting boundary differ to that used in your financial statement?**

*Our reporting boundary for CDP disclosure does not include any subsidiary that is not wholly owned as Scope 3 emissions, while treatment in our financial statements may be different.*

**(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?**

	Does your organization use this unique identifier?	Provide your unique identifier
Ticker symbol	Select from:	NYSE:CAG

	Does your organization use this unique identifier?	Provide your unique identifier
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	

**(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.**

Select all that apply

- Canada
- Mexico
- United States of America

**(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?**

**(1.8.1) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?**

Select from:

- Yes, for all facilities

**(1.8.2) Comment**

*Our headquarters is located in Chicago, Illinois. We also operate general offices, shared service centers, product development facilities, distribution facilities and warehouses. Please see our latest Citizenship Report <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2024> for a map of Conagra Brands production facilities and corporate offices.*

**(1.11) Are greenhouse gas emissions and/or water-related impacts from the production, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or the consumption of your products relevant to your current CDP disclosure?**

**Production**

### (1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

- Value chain (excluding own land)

### (1.11.2) Primary reason emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

Select from:

- Do not own/manage land

## Processing/ Manufacturing

### (1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

- Direct operations

## Distribution

### (1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

- Both direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

## Consumption

### (1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

- No

### (1.11.2) Primary reason emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

**(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.**

**Timber products**

**(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced**

*Select from:*

- Sourced

**(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage**

*Select all that apply*

- Retailing

**(1.22.11) Form of commodity**

*Select all that apply*

- Paper
- Primary packaging
- Secondary packaging
- Tertiary packaging

**(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?**

*Select from:*

- Yes, disclosing

**Palm oil**

**(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced**

*Select from:*

- Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Manufacturing

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Crude palm kernel oil (CPKO)
- Crude palm oil (CPO)
- Palm kernel oil derivatives
- Refined palm oil
- By-products (e.g. glycerin, gelatin)

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

## Cattle products

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Manufacturing

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Beef

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

## Soy

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Manufacturing

### (1.22.3) Indicate if you have direct soy and/or embedded soy in your value chain

Select from:

- Mixture of embedded soy and direct soy

### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- No, other reason, please specify :The total volume is only calculated for direct soy procured, unable to calculate embedded soy

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Soybean meal
- Soybean oil

- Soy derivatives
- Whole soybeans

#### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

#### (1.22.19) Please explain

*The total volume is only calculated for direct soy procured, unable to calculate embedded soy.*

### Cocoa

#### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- Sourced

#### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Manufacturing

#### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

## C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

	From (years)	Is your long-term time horizon open ended?	To (years)
Short-term	0	Select from:	2
Medium-term	2	Select from:	5
Long-term	5	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	10

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies only

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

**(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.**

**Row 1**

**(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

**(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

- Dependencies

**(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain

**(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment**

Select from:

- Quantitative only

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific
- Local

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

- External consultants

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- NGOs
- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Local communities

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

No

### Row 2

### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

Forests

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

Dependencies

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

Direct operations

Upstream value chain

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

Quantitative only

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

- Short-term

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

- Site-specific

- Local

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

- External consultants

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

*Select all that apply*

- Customers

- Regulators

- Suppliers

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

*Select from:*

- No

## Row 3

### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- Water

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- Dependencies

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

- Quantitative only

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

*Select from:*

- Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

- Short-term

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

- Site-specific

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

*Select all that apply*

- Customers
- Suppliers

### **(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?**

*Select from:*

- No

## **Row 4**

### **(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

- Plastics

### **(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

- Dependencies

### **(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations

### **(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment**

*Select from:*

- Quantitative only

### **(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment**

Select from:

Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

Short-term

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

Site-specific

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

Customers

Suppliers

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

No

**(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?**

	Identification and classification of potential water pollutants
	Select from:

	Identification and classification of potential water pollutants
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

### C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

**(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

	Environmental risks identified
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, only within our direct operations
Plastics	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, only within our direct operations

**(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?**

## Row 1

### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Mississippi River

### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

*Select all that apply*

Direct operations

### (3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

4

### (3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

*Select from:*

1-25%

## Row 2

### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

*Select all that apply*

Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

1

**(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

Select from:

1-25%

**Row 4**

**(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin**

Mexico

Santiago

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

Select all that apply

Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

1

**(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

Select from:

1-25%

**(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

## Climate change

### (3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

- Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

## Forests

### (3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

- No

### (3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

## Water

### (3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

- No

### (3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

- Evaluation in progress

## C4. Governance

**(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?**

### (4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

*Select from:*

Yes

### (4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

*Select from:*

Quarterly

### (4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

*Select all that apply*

Executive directors or equivalent

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

### (4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

*Select from:*

Yes, and it is publicly available

**(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?**

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

**(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues.**

### Climate change

#### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board-level committee

#### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

#### **(4.1.2.7) Please explain**

*Our Board of Directors maintains a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The responsibilities of this Committee include, but are not limited to: overseeing and reviewing with management the Company's Citizenship Strategy including its four focus areas: good food, responsible sourcing, better planet, and stronger communities ("Citizenship Strategy"). This responsibility includes oversight of significant risks that may, in the opinion of the Committee, affect the Company's reputation, business, and stockholder value, such as supply chain risk, environmental and climate sustainability, and community engagement. Additionally, the Committee reviews the annual citizenship reports published by the Company.*

### **Forests**

#### **(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- Board-level committee

#### **(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item**

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### **(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated**

Select all that apply

- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

#### **(4.1.2.7) Please explain**

*Our Board of Directors maintains a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The responsibilities of this Committee include, but are not limited to: overseeing and reviewing with management the Company's Citizenship Strategy including its four focus areas: good food, responsible sourcing, better planet, and*

stronger communities (“Citizenship Strategy”). This responsibility includes oversight of significant risks that may, in the opinion of the Committee, affect the Company’s reputation, business, and stockholder value, such as supply chain risk, environmental and climate sustainability, and community engagement. Additionally, the Committee reviews the annual citizenship reports published by the Company.

## Water

### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board-level committee

### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

Our Board of Directors maintains a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The responsibilities of this Committee include, but are not limited to: overseeing and reviewing with management the Company’s Citizenship Strategy including its four focus areas: good food, responsible sourcing, better planet, and stronger communities (“Citizenship Strategy”). This responsibility includes oversight of significant risks that may, in the opinion of the Committee, affect the Company’s reputation, business, and stockholder value, such as supply chain risk, environmental and climate sustainability, and community engagement. Additionally, the Committee reviews the annual citizenship reports published by the Company.

## Biodiversity

### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board-level committee

#### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*Our Board of Directors maintains a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The responsibilities of this Committee include, but are not limited to: overseeing and reviewing with management the Company’s Citizenship Strategy including its four focus areas: good food, responsible sourcing, better planet, and stronger communities (“Citizenship Strategy”). This responsibility includes oversight of significant risks that may, in the opinion of the Committee, affect the Company’s reputation, business, and stockholder value, such as supply chain risk, environmental and climate sustainability, and community engagement. Additionally, the Committee reviews the annual citizenship reports published by the Company.*

#### (4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

**(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

**Climate change**

**(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility**

Committee

- Sustainability committee

**(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position**

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues
- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

#### Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

#### Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a climate transition plan
- Implementing a climate transition plan
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues
- Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Conagra Brands' Senior Leadership Team has executive-level oversight of all topics across the four strategic pillars of our Citizenship Strategy through various leadership committees including our Sustainability Executive Committee. The Sustainability Executive Committee oversees the work of three Sustainability Advisory Committees, each consisting of a cross-section of relevant business unit leaders and subject matter experts across Conagra Brands that drive our strategy and initiatives related to climate change, packaging, waste, and water. These Sustainability Advisory Committees include leaders from functions such as supply chain, R&D, demand science, procurement, operations, food safety, enterprise risk management, finance, legal, and investor relations, who are responsible for:*

- Our

products, including innovation, labeling, packaging, nutrition, and marketing • Our facilities, including production facilities, food safety, and environmental impact • Purchasing, including our relationships with our suppliers • Communications, stakeholder engagement, and disclosure, including with our employees, communities, shareholders, and others Each Sustainability Advisory Committee meets multiple times each year to review and prioritize sustainability goals and initiatives, including providing accountability for our initiatives and oversight of resource allocation, investment and timelines. The Sustainability Advisory Committees monitor current and emerging sustainability risks and opportunities impacting our business. The Sustainability Executive Committee is responsible for aligning our sustainability work with our mission, vision, values, and strategic and financial goals. Representatives from the Sustainability Executive Committee provide periodic reports to the Board and its standing committees on aspects of our Citizenship Strategy, related initiatives, and how we are identifying, assessing, and managing related risks.

## Forests

### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- Sustainability committee

### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Conagra Brands' Senior Leadership Team has executive-level oversight of all topics across the four strategic pillars of our Citizenship Strategy through various leadership committees including our Sustainability Executive Committee. The Sustainability Executive Committee oversees the work of three Sustainability Advisory Committees, each consisting of a cross-section of relevant business unit leaders and subject matter experts across Conagra Brands that drive our strategy and initiatives related to climate change, packaging, waste, and water. These Sustainability Advisory Committees include leaders from functions such as supply chain, R&D, demand science, procurement, operations, food safety, enterprise risk management, finance, legal, and investor relations, who are responsible for:*

- Our products, including innovation, labeling, packaging, nutrition, and marketing*
- Our facilities, including production facilities, food safety, and environmental impact*
- Purchasing, including our relationships with our suppliers*
- Communications, stakeholder engagement, and disclosure, including with our employees, communities, shareholders, and others*

*Each Sustainability Advisory Committee meets multiple times each year to review and prioritize sustainability goals and initiatives, including providing accountability for our initiatives and oversight of resource allocation, investment and timelines. The Sustainability Advisory Committees monitor current and emerging sustainability risks and opportunities impacting our business. The Sustainability Executive Committee is responsible for aligning our sustainability work with our mission, vision, values, and strategic and financial goals. Representatives from the Sustainability Executive Committee provide periodic reports to the Board and its standing committees on aspects of our Citizenship Strategy, related initiatives, and how we are identifying, assessing, and managing related risks.*

## Water

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- Sustainability committee

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Conagra Brands' Senior Leadership Team has executive-level oversight of all topics across the four strategic pillars of our Citizenship Strategy through various leadership committees including our Sustainability Executive Committee. The Sustainability Executive Committee oversees the work of three Sustainability Advisory Committees, each consisting of a cross-section of relevant business unit leaders and subject matter experts across Conagra Brands that drive our strategy and initiatives related to climate change, packaging, waste, and water. These Sustainability Advisory Committees include leaders from functions such as supply chain, R&D, demand science, procurement, operations, food safety, enterprise risk management, finance, legal, and investor relations, who are responsible for: • Our products, including innovation, labeling, packaging, nutrition, and marketing • Our facilities, including production facilities, food safety, and environmental impact • Purchasing, including our relationships with our suppliers • Communications, stakeholder engagement, and disclosure, including with our employees, communities, shareholders, and others Each Sustainability Advisory Committee meets multiple times each year to review and prioritize sustainability goals and initiatives, including providing accountability for our initiatives and oversight of resource allocation, investment and timelines. The Sustainability Advisory Committees monitor current and emerging sustainability risks and opportunities impacting our business. The Sustainability Executive Committee is responsible for aligning our sustainability work with our mission, vision, values, and strategic and financial goals. Representatives from the Sustainability Executive Committee provide periodic reports to the Board and its standing committees on aspects of our Citizenship Strategy, related initiatives, and how we are identifying, assessing, and managing related risks.*

### **Biodiversity**

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- Sustainability committee

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Conagra Brands' Senior Leadership Team has executive-level oversight of all topics across the four strategic pillars of our Citizenship Strategy through various leadership committees including our Sustainability Executive Committee. The Sustainability Executive Committee oversees the work of three Sustainability Advisory Committees, each consisting of a cross-section of relevant business unit leaders and subject matter experts across Conagra Brands that drive our strategy and initiatives related to climate change, packaging, waste, and water. These Sustainability Advisory Committees include leaders from functions such as supply chain, R&D, demand science, procurement, operations, food safety, enterprise risk management, finance, legal, and investor relations, who are responsible for:*

- Our products, including innovation, labeling, packaging, nutrition, and marketing*
- Our facilities, including production facilities, food safety, and environmental impact*
- Purchasing, including our relationships with our suppliers*
- Communications, stakeholder engagement, and disclosure, including with our employees, communities, shareholders, and others*

*Each Sustainability Advisory Committee meets multiple times each year to review and prioritize sustainability goals and initiatives, including providing accountability for our initiatives and oversight of resource allocation, investment and timelines. The Sustainability Advisory Committees monitor current and emerging sustainability risks and opportunities impacting our business. The Sustainability Executive Committee is responsible for aligning our sustainability work with our mission, vision, values, and strategic and financial goals. Representatives from the Sustainability Executive Committee provide periodic reports to the Board and its standing committees on aspects of our Citizenship Strategy, related initiatives, and how we are identifying, assessing, and managing related risks.*

#### (4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	<b>Does your organization have any environmental policies?</b>
	Select from:

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

**(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.**

**Row 1**

**(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

**(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage**

*Select from:*

- Organization-wide

**(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Upstream value chain

**(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage**

*The Code of Conduct for Suppliers, while not exhaustive, is established to provide a guideline of expectations, highlighting some key laws and regulations, as well as outlining additional requirements that Conagra Brands expects its suppliers to meet. Suppliers must take reasonable measures to hold their suppliers and sub-contractors to standards consistent with this Supplier Code of Conduct.*

#### **(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content**

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

Social commitments

- Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights

Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of commodities covered by the policy
- Description of environmental requirements for procurement
- Description of grievance/whistleblower mechanism to monitor non-compliance with the environmental policy and raise/address/escalate any other greenwashing concerns

#### **(4.6.1.7) Public availability**

Select from:

- Publicly available

#### **(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy**

*supplier-code-of-conduct.pdf*

### **Row 2**

#### **(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered**

Select all that apply

- Climate change

- Water

#### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

#### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

*Our Code of Conduct applies to our Board of Directors and all of our employees, at every level and location.*

#### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of grievance/whistleblower mechanism to monitor non-compliance with the environmental policy and raise/address/escalate any other greenwashing concerns

#### (4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

#### (4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

*code-of-conduct.pdf*

**(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?**

**(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?**

Select from:

Yes

**(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative**

Select all that apply

Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)

Other, please specify :Circular Action Alliance

**(4.10.3) Describe your organization’s role within each framework or initiative**

*Conagra Brands is a Founding Member of the Circular Action Alliance (CAA), a Producer Responsibility Organization (PRO) dedicated to implementing effective Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) laws for paper and packaging in the United States.*

**(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?**

	External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment	Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals	Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register
	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers</p>	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to have one in the next two years</p>	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>

**(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?**

Select from:

- Yes

**(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.**

## Row 1

### (4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In voluntary sustainability reports

### (4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Governance
- Emission targets
- Emissions figures
- Risks & Opportunities
- Water accounting figures
- Deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status metrics

- Value chain engagement

#### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

<https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2024>

#### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

[conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2024.pdf](#)

### C5. Business strategy

#### (5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

##### (5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

- No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

##### (5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Conagra Brands' current SBTi aligned climate goals are aligned with 2 degrees. We are in the process of reviewing these goals against SBTi's current science-based guidance which is aligned to a 1.5 degrees Celsius scenario.

##### (5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

*When setting its 2030 science-based climate change goals, Conagra Brands worked with the Science Based Target initiative (SBTi) to validate its goals as science-based. Conagra Brands is in the process of re-evaluating its goals and will engage with SBTi whose standards currently align with a 1.5 degrees Celsius scenario.*

#### (5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

##### (5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

- Yes, both strategy and financial planning

### (5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- Operations

### (5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

#### Operations

#### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Opportunities

#### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*To advance our sustainability initiatives that mitigate environmental risk, Conagra Brands established a \$9 million Sustainability Capital Allowance program. This fund supports our manufacturing facilities in achieving their sustainability goals including investments to help us reduce food waste, manage and reduce energy use, etc. Projects that demonstrate reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, water usage, or waste generation are eligible to access this budget.*

### (5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

#### Row 1

#### (5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- Capital expenditures

### (5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Opportunities

### (5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

To advance our sustainability initiatives that mitigate environmental risk, Conagra Brands established a \$9 million Sustainability Capital Allowance program. This fund supports our manufacturing facilities in achieving their sustainability goals including investments to help us reduce food waste, manage and reduce energy use, etc. Projects that demonstrate reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, water usage, or waste generation are eligible to access this budget.

### (5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forests <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plastics

## **(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?**

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

#### **(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

*As part of our Supplier Excellence Program, Conagra assesses key suppliers at least annually on their supply chain performance. Metrics that we review include the supplier's publicly available reporting, policies, and commitments that address sustainability risks specific to the supplier's industry, and initiatives addressing climate change, packaging waste, agriculture, deforestation, water, and waste. The annual sustainability assessment is supplemented by biannual performance discussions.*

### **Forests**

#### **(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

#### **(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

*As part of our Supplier Excellence Program, Conagra assesses key suppliers at least annually on their supply chain performance. Metrics that we review include the supplier's publicly available reporting, policies, and commitments that address sustainability risks specific to the supplier's industry, and initiatives addressing climate change, packaging waste, agriculture, deforestation, water, and waste. The annual sustainability assessment is supplemented by biannual performance discussions.*

### **Water**

#### **(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

#### **(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

*As part of our Supplier Excellence Program, Conagra assesses key suppliers at least annually on their supply chain performance. Metrics that we review include the supplier's publicly available reporting, policies, and commitments that address sustainability risks specific to the supplier's industry, and initiatives addressing climate change, packaging waste, agriculture, deforestation, water, and waste. The annual sustainability assessment is supplemented by biannual performance discussions.*

### **Plastics**

#### **(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

#### **(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

*As part of our Supplier Excellence Program, Conagra assesses key suppliers at least annually on their supply chain performance. Metrics that we review include the supplier's publicly available reporting, policies, and commitments that address sustainability risks specific to the supplier's industry, and initiatives addressing climate change, packaging waste, agriculture, deforestation, water, and waste. The annual sustainability assessment is supplemented by biannual performance discussions.*

## **C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach**

**(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.**

### **Climate change**

#### **(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used**

Select from:

Operational control

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Conagra Brands focuses our resources in key areas where we can drive positive change across our value chain. Climate change, water resources, deforestation/preserving biodiversity, and waste are the most significant to our business and, therefore, key areas of focus for our sustainability programs. We utilize an operational boundary for our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions goals consistent with SBTi standards and have applied an operational approach across all of these significant areas.*

### Forests

## (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Conagra Brands focuses our resources in key areas where we can drive positive change across our value chain. Climate change, water resources, deforestation/preserving biodiversity, and waste are the most significant to our business and, therefore, key areas of focus for our sustainability programs. We utilize an operational boundary for our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions goals consistent with SBTi standards and have applied an operational approach across all of these significant areas.*

### Water

## (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Conagra Brands focuses our resources in key areas where we can drive positive change across our value chain. Climate change, water resources, deforestation/preserving biodiversity, and waste are the most significant to our business and, therefore, key areas of focus for our sustainability programs. We utilize an operational boundary for our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions goals consistent with SBTi standards and have applied an operational approach across all of these significant areas.*

### Plastics

### (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

### (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Conagra Brands focuses our resources in key areas where we can drive positive change across our value chain. Climate change, water resources, deforestation/preserving biodiversity, and waste are the most significant to our business and, therefore, key areas of focus for our sustainability programs. We utilize an operational boundary for our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions goals consistent with SBTi standards and have applied an operational approach across all of these significant areas.*

## Biodiversity

### (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

### (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Conagra Brands focuses our resources in key areas where we can drive positive change across our value chain. Climate change, water resources, deforestation/preserving biodiversity, and waste are the most significant to our business and, therefore, key areas of focus for our sustainability programs. We utilize an operational boundary for our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions goals consistent with SBTi standards and have applied an operational approach across all of these significant areas.*

## C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

### (7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

**(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?**

	Has there been a structural change?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?**

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.**

*Select all that apply*

- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- US EPA Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule
- The Climate Registry: General Reporting Protocol
- US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Indirect Emissions From Purchased Electricity

**(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.**

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

**(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?**

*Select from:*

Yes

**(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.**

**Row 1**

**(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions**

*Corporate Jet Hanger*

**(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)**

*Select all that apply*

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.5) Relevance of market-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0

### (7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

*The hangar is leased and electricity use from this facility represents a negligible contribution to overall emissions compared to manufacturing facilities and other company activities. Emissions from jet fuel are included in the inventory reported in this disclosure.*

### (7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

*Emissions percentage was estimated based on the electricity and natural gas usage at this site for FY21, compared to total energy use for sites included in the Scope 1 and 2 boundary. This site represented approximately 0.03% of the total electricity and natural gas of our portfolio.*

## Row 2

### (7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Conagra Brands Sales Offices

### (7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

- Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

- Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.5) Relevance of market-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

- Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.1

### (7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

*Conagra Brands has not yet integrated our sales offices into our reporting. These offices are leased and present a negligible contribution to our overall emissions compared to our manufacturing facilities.*

### (7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

We do not receive utility usage data for these facilities. Emissions were estimated based on the square footage for our offices in Mississauga, ON and Rogers, AK and average electricity intensity consumption data for office buildings of similar size classes and geographic regions (CBECS, 2016). Based on these estimates, electricity at these sites represents approximately 0.07% of total usage across our portfolio.

### Row 3

#### (7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

*Conagra Brands Center for Food Design*

#### (7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)

#### (7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

- Emissions are not relevant

#### (7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

- Emissions are not relevant

#### (7.4.1.5) Relevance of market-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

- Emissions are not relevant

#### (7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.1

#### (7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

*Emissions from this facility are negligible compared to our manufacturing sites.*

#### (7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

*Emissions percentage was estimated based on the electricity and natural gas usage at this site for FY21, compared to total energy use for sites included in the Scope 1 and 2 boundary. This site represented approximately 0.13% of total electricity use and 0.007% of natural gas usage for our facilities.*

### Row 4

#### (7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

*Ancillary Purchased Goods and Services*

#### (7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

#### (7.4.1.6) Relevance of Scope 3 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

Emissions are not relevant

#### (7.4.1.9) Estimated percentage of total Scope 3 emissions this excluded source represents

3

#### (7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

*Our science-based scope 3 target includes emissions from purchased ingredients and packaging and excludes ancillary goods and services such as cleaning and sanitation, travel agencies, water/sewer utilities. These excluded categories were evaluated for fiscal year 2020 as part of our submission to the Science Based Targets initiative.*

#### (7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

*Our science-based scope 3 target includes emissions from purchased ingredients and packaging and excludes ancillary goods and services such as cleaning and sanitation, travel agencies, water/sewer utilities. These excluded categories were evaluated for fiscal year 2020 as part of our submission to the Science Based Targets initiative.*

## **(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.**

### **Scope 1**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

05/31/2020

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

411867

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*This target uses a base year of fiscal year 2020 (June 2019 – May 2020). The target covers Scope 1 emissions from owned facilities and operations. This target was approved by SBTi in early 2021.*

### **Scope 2 (location-based)**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

05/31/2020

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

415963

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*This target uses a base year of fiscal year 2020 (June 2019 – May 2020). The target covers Scope 2 emissions from owned facilities and operations. This target was approved by SBTi in early 2021.*

## Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.5.1) Base year end

05/31/2020

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

415963

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This target uses a base year of fiscal year 2020 (June 2019 – May 2020). The target covers Scope 2 emissions from owned facilities and operations. This target was approved by SBTi in early 2021.*

### (7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Methodological details
Reporting year	490454	<i>Our data pull for CDP reporting aligns with the values reported in the FY24 Citizenship Report.</i>

### (7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Methodological details
Reporting year	383573	346039	<i>Our data pull for CDP reporting aligns with the values reported in the FY24 Citizenship Report.</i>

**(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.**

**Purchased goods and services**

**(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

**(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)**

7710524

**(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology**

Select all that apply

Average product method

**(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners**

0

**(7.8.5) Please explain**

*The most impactful purchased goods and services for Conagra Brands are the ingredients and packaging used to make our products, representing more than 95% of the estimated Scope 3 emissions in this category. Other purchased goods and services outside of ingredients and packaging were excluded from this value. This is*

consistent with the Scope 3 boundary for our Science-Based Target. Scope 3 emissions were calculated for purchased ingredients and packaging materials using life cycle emissions benchmarks for commodities purchased. Benchmarks were based on meta-analyses of life cycle data (e.g., the FAO Global Livestock Environmental Assessment Model [GLEAM]) covering farm level (or raw material extraction) through processing, with region-specific factors used where possible in alignment with Conagra Brands' sourcing practices.

## Capital goods

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Upstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Waste generated in operations

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Business travel

## (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Employee commuting

## (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Upstream leased assets

## (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

## (7.8.5) Please explain

*Emissions from this category are not applicable because Conagra Brands does not have any upstream leased assets.*

## Downstream transportation and distribution

## (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Processing of sold products

## (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Use of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Emissions for this category were calculated in 2020 as part of our submission to the Science Based Targets Initiative. This category is excluded from our scope 3 target boundary*

## End of life treatment of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Emissions for this category were calculated in 2020 as part of our submission to the Science Based Targets Initiative. This category is excluded from our scope 3 target boundary*

## Downstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Emissions from this category are not applicable because Conagra Brands does not lease assets to other entities*

## **Franchises**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*Conagra Brands is not involved in any franchise operations; therefore, the greenhouse gas emissions associated with the operation of franchises are not a relevant source of Scope 3 emissions.*

## **Investments**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*Conagra Brands has investments in several joint ventures that have been determined to be insignificant to scope 3 emissions*

## **Other (upstream)**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*No additional emissions sources were required as part of Science-Based Targets setting*

## Other (downstream)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*No additional emissions sources were required as part of Science-Based Targets setting*

### (7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance

**(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.**

**Row 1**

#### (7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

### (7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

### (7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

*Conagra FY2024 CDP Verification Statement Limited rev (1).pdf*

### (7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

*All*

### (7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISO14064-3

### (7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

*100*

**(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

**Row 1**

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

- Scope 2 location-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

- Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

- Complete

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

- Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*Conagra FY2024 CDP Verification Statement Limited rev (1).pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*All*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

- ISO14064-3

### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

## Row 2

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

- Scope 2 market-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

- Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

- Complete

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

- Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*Conagra FY2024 CDP Verification Statement Limited rev (1).pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*All*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

### **(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)**

100

**(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?**

*Select from:*

Increased

**(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?**

*Select from:*

Market-based

**(7.13) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?**

*Select from:*

No

**(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?**

*Select from:*

No

**(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.**

	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Canada	12772	307	307
Mexico	8468	5502	5502
United States of America	469214	377764	340229

**(7.18) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.18.2) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category.**

**Row 1**

**(7.18.2.1) Activity**

Select from:

Processing/Manufacturing

**(7.18.2.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

490454

**(7.18.2.4) Methodology**

Select all that apply

Default emissions factor

### (7.18.2.5) Please explain

The majority of Conagra Brands' scope 1 emissions result from our processing and manufacturing facilities. The figure provided is our FY24 Scope 1 total for our inventory boundary.

### (7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

No

### (7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

#### Row 1

### (7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

### (7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

**(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.**

**Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)**

**(7.30.1.1) Heating value**

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

**(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources**

0

**(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources**

1760524.37

**(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh**

1760524.37

**Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity**

**(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources**

86541.49

**(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources**

800254.85

**(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh**

886796.34

**Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy**

**(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources**

0.87

**(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh**

0.87

**Total energy consumption**

**(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources**

86542.36

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

2560779.22

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

2647321.58

### (7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### (7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

#### Electricity

**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0.87

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0.87

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

0.87

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0.87

**(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.**

**Canada**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

18893.95

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

18893.95

**Mexico**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

10023.48

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

871.61

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

10895.09

**United States of America**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

857008.17

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

857008.17

**(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.**

**Row 1**

**(7.45.1) Intensity figure**

0.000069413

**(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)**

836493

**(7.45.3) Metric denominator**

Select from:

unit total revenue

**(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total**

12050900000

**(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used**

Select from:

Market-based

### (7.45.6) % change from previous year

12

### (7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Increased

### (7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Absolute target

Intensity target

### (7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

#### Row 1

### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 1

### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

Well-below 2°C aligned

#### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

04/30/2020

#### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

#### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2

#### (7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

Market-based

#### (7.53.1.11) End date of base year

05/31/2020

#### (7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

411867

#### (7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

415963

#### (7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

**(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

827830.000

**(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1**

100

**(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

12/31/2030

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

25

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

620872.500

**(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

490454

**(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

**(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

836493.000

**(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

 No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)**(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year**

-4.19

**(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

 Underway**(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

*In 2020 we established this Science-Based Target using FY2020 (June 2019-May 2020) as a base year covering absolute scope 1 and 2 emissions from company operations. This target was approved by SBTi in early 2021. The exclusions for our target include Conagra Brands' Sales Offices, Corporate Jet Hanger, and Center for Food Design. Our data pull for CDP reporting is reflective of the information in the FY24 Citizenship Report.*

**(7.53.1.83) Target objective**

25% reduction in Scope 1 &amp; 2 by 2030

**(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year**

*In fiscal year 2024, 4.3% of our total energy consumed came from renewable sources. Our Science Based Target will push us to find new solutions to address our overall energy usage and efficiency, as well as investigate new renewable energy options.*

**(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach**

Select from:

No

**(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.**

**Row 1**

**(7.53.2.1) Target reference number**

Select from:

Int 1

**(7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?**

Select from:

Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

**(7.53.2.4) Target ambition**

Select from:

Well-below 2°C aligned

**(7.53.2.5) Date target was set**

04/30/2020

**(7.53.2.6) Target coverage**

Select from:

Organization-wide

**(7.53.2.8) Scopes**

Select all that apply

Scope 3

### **(7.53.2.10) Scope 3 categories**

Select all that apply

Category 1: Purchased goods and services

### **(7.53.2.11) Intensity metric**

Select from:

Metric tons CO2e per unit of production

### **(7.53.2.12) End date of base year**

05/31/2020

### **(7.53.2.15) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services**

3.0867

### **(7.53.2.32) Intensity figure in base year for total Scope 3**

3.0867000000

### **(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes**

3.0867000000

### **(7.53.2.36) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services covered by this Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services intensity figure**

96

### **(7.53.2.53) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories) covered by this total Scope 3 intensity figure**

76

**(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure**

76

**(7.53.2.55) End date of target**

12/31/2030

**(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

20

**(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes**

2.4693600000

**(7.53.2.62) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services**

2.609

**(7.53.2.79) Intensity figure in reporting year for total Scope 3**

2.6090000000

**(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes**

2.6090000000

**(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

Yes, it covers land-related and non-land related emissions (e.g. SBT approved before the release of FLAG target-setting guidance)

**(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year**

77.38

### (7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

### (7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*This target uses a base year of fiscal year 2020 (June 2019 – May 2020). The target covers Scope 3 emissions from purchased goods and services per metric tonne of material (ingredients and packaging) sourced. This target was approved by SBTi in early 2021. The target boundary includes emissions from purchased ingredients and packaging and excludes ancillary purchased goods and services which represent a small portion of emissions.*

### (7.53.2.86) Target objective

*20% reduction in Scope 3 emissions from purchased goods and services by 20% per metric tonne of material sourced by 2030*

### (7.53.2.87) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*In fiscal year 2024, we estimate that our Scope 3 emissions decreased approximately 15.5% per metric ton of material sourced from our 2020 baseline, which brings us approximately 77% of the way to our 2030 goal. In addition, we have reduced our estimated absolute in-scope emissions approximately 20.1% from our 2020 baseline. Our reduction in fiscal year 2024 was driven by procuring fewer high carbon intensity ingredients and also reflects reductions attributable to improved data quality related to packaging weights.*

### (7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Other climate-related targets

#### (7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

#### Row 1

### (7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 1

### (7.54.2.2) Date target was set

01/30/2020

### (7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

### (7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Absolute

### (7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Resource consumption or efficiency

Other resource consumption or efficiency, please specify :Percent of packaging made with renewable, recyclable, or compostable materials

### (7.54.2.7) End date of base year

05/31/2020

### (7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

84

### (7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/31/2025

### (7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

100

### (7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

93

### (7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

56.2500000000

### (7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

### (7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :U.S. Plastics Pact

### (7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*For purposes of this goal, (1) we include only primary consumer packaging material volumes, and secondary packaging material volumes consistent with our CDP deforestation risk disclosure (such as rigid resin, flexible resin, plant fiber packaging materials (serving bowls and corrugate boxes), glass and metal packaging and we exclude totes, corrugate displays, multiwall bags, bulk bags, drum, valves and actuators, inner liners and miscellaneous packaging not specifically identified in our procurement data systems); (2) we define as renewable all material composed of biomass from a living source that can be continually replenished, such as tree fiber, other plant fiber or other bio-based packaging materials; and (3) we define as recyclable all items able to be recycled via third-party definitions (such as recyclable packaging materials are defined as “widely recyclable,” “check locally,” or “store drop off” by How2Recycle and packaging materials included in consumer take-back systems, such as TerraCycle) although we acknowledge that infrastructure may not exist to allow for consumer recycling in all places where our products are sold; and (4) we define as compostable materials meeting third-party home or industrial compostable standards and/or compostable labelling requirements such as How2Compost. We intend to update these definitions to reflect new innovations and standards for renewable, recyclable and compostable packaging materials.*

### (7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*Following the success of our 2017 strategy to increase our use of plant-based trays, bowls and other lower plastic content packaging, we are currently reassessing our packaging strategy, including evaluating the accuracy and quality of available data, potential metrics and indicators to measure performance, external benchmarks and potential verification processes. We strive to reduce waste and measure our progress accurately and transparently. We continue to further our packaging strategy by identifying materials that have demonstrated a year-over-year plastic reduction independent of sales volume, designing packaging with less material, and testing and adopting recycled packaging content that meets our performance and safety standards. Our ability to meet our packaging goals is*

dependent on our ability to invest in a range of alternative packaging, technologies, infrastructure and processes while preserving consumer access to quality, affordable food. Additionally, we are reliant on the continued evolution, and the availability and reliability, of technology related to renewable and recyclable plastic, availability of supply, regulatory requirements including food safety, consumer rejection and financial viability of alternative packaging.

**(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?**

Select from:

No, I am not providing data

**(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.**

	Description of product(s) or service(s)
Row 1	More information can be found at <a href="https://www.evolfoods.com/Sustainability">https://www.evolfoods.com/Sustainability</a>

**(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?**

Select from:

No

## C8. Environmental performance - Forests

### (8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?

	Exclusion from disclosure
Timber products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Palm oil	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Cattle products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Soy	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Cocoa	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

#### (8.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

##### Timber products

###### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Business activities

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Conagra Brands' no deforestation sourcing strategy for Timber used in packaging materials and other manufacturing processes (primary, secondary and tertiary packaging) purchased by us for products manufactured in our facilities, excludes private label and foodservice products. Information available to us at the time of our assessment allowed for at least 90% of our applicable buy for each commodity category to be assessed against our no deforestation criteria.*

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

Challenges associated with traceability

### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

No, the volume excluded is unknown

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*While we have made significant strides for no deforestation in our supply chain, challenges remain. We acknowledge that despite our best efforts, gathering traceability information for these commodities can be challenging due to our position in the supply chain. We are actively working to enhance our traceability systems to improve transparency and identify partnership opportunities with key suppliers. Conagra Brands has conducted initial risk assessments and supply chain mapping for key deforestation linked commodities and is working towards continuous improvement of traceability systems and risk assessment processes for these commodities. Please see the Conagra Brands Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2024>*

## Palm oil

### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Business activities

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Conagra Brands' no deforestation sourcing strategy for palm oil used in palm oil (palm, palm kernel, and all blended oil) purchased by us for products manufactured in our facilities, excludes private label and foodservice products. Information available to us at the time of our assessment allowed for at least 90% of our applicable buy for each commodity category to be assessed against our no deforestation criteria.*

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Challenges associated with traceability

### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

- No, the volume excluded is unknown

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*While we have made significant strides for no deforestation in our supply chain, challenges remain. We acknowledge that despite our best efforts, gathering traceability information for these commodities can be challenging due to our position in the supply chain. We are actively working to enhance our traceability systems to improve transparency and identify partnership opportunities with key suppliers. Conagra Brands has conducted initial risk assessments and supply chain mapping for key deforestation linked commodities and is working towards continuous improvement of traceability systems and risk assessment processes for these commodities. Please see the Conagra Brands Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2024>*

## Cattle products

### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Business activities

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Conagra Brands' no deforestation sourcing strategy for cattle (including fresh, frozen, cooked, and Kosher) purchased by us for products manufactured in our facilities, excludes private label and foodservice products. Information available to us at the time of our assessment allowed for at least 90% of our applicable buy for each commodity category to be assessed against our no deforestation criteria.*

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Challenges associated with traceability

### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

- No, the volume excluded is unknown

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*While we have made significant strides for no deforestation in our supply chain, challenges remain. We acknowledge that despite our best efforts, gathering traceability information for these commodities can be challenging due to our position in the supply chain. We are actively working to enhance our traceability systems to improve transparency and identify partnership opportunities with key suppliers. Conagra Brands has conducted initial risk assessments and supply chain mapping for key deforestation linked commodities and is working towards continuous improvement of traceability systems and risk assessment processes for these commodities. Please see the Conagra Brands Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2024>*

## Soy

### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Business activities

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Conagra Brands' no deforestation sourcing strategy for soy (soy, lecithin, soy flour, soybean oil, and soy protein products, excluding soy embedded in manufactured components) purchased by us for products manufactured in our facilities, excludes private label and foodservice products. Information available to us at the time of our assessment allowed for at least 90% of our applicable buy for each commodity category to be assessed against our no deforestation criteria.*

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Challenges associated with traceability

### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

- No, the volume excluded is unknown

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*While we have made significant strides for no deforestation in our supply chain, challenges remain. We acknowledge that despite our best efforts, gathering traceability information for these commodities can be challenging due to our position in the supply chain. We are actively working to enhance our traceability systems to improve transparency and identify partnership opportunities with key suppliers. Conagra Brands has conducted initial risk assessments and supply chain mapping for key deforestation linked commodities and is working towards continuous improvement of traceability systems and risk assessment processes for these*

commodities. Please see the Conagra Brands Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2024>

## Cocoa

### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Business activities

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Conagra Brands' no deforestation sourcing strategy for cocoa (cocoa powder and chocolate excluding cocoa embedded in manufactured components) purchased by us for products manufactured in our facilities, excludes private label and foodservice products. Information available to us at the time of our assessment allowed for at least 90% of our applicable buy for each commodity category to be assessed against our no deforestation criteria.*

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Challenges associated with traceability

### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

- No, the volume excluded is unknown

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*While we have made significant strides for no deforestation in our supply chain, challenges remain. We acknowledge that despite our best efforts, gathering traceability information for these commodities can be challenging due to our position in the supply chain. We are actively working to enhance our traceability systems to improve transparency and identify partnership opportunities with key suppliers. Conagra Brands has conducted initial risk assessments and supply chain mapping for key deforestation linked commodities and is working towards continuous improvement of traceability systems and risk assessment processes for these commodities. Please see the Conagra Brands Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2024>*

## **(8.6) Does your organization produce or source palm oil derived biofuel?**

Select from:

No

## **(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?**

### **Timber products**

#### **(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

#### **(8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage**

Select from:

Organization-wide (direct operations only)

### **Palm oil**

#### **(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

#### **(8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage**

Select from:

- Organization-wide (direct operations only)

## Cattle products

### (8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

### (8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide (direct operations only)

## Soy

### (8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

### (8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide (direct operations only)

## Cocoa

### (8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

## (8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide (direct operations only)

**(8.7.1) Provide details on your no-deforestation or no-conversion target that was active during the reporting year.**

### Timber products

#### (8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- No-deforestation

#### (8.7.1.2) Your organization's definition of "no-deforestation" or "no-conversion"

*Conagra Brands' no-deforestation strategy includes various key principals of the AFi framework, which defines deforestation as the loss of natural forest as a result of: 1) conversion to agriculture or other non-forest land use; 2) conversion to a tree plantation; or 3) severe and sustained degradation. Loss of natural forest that meets this definition is considered to be deforestation regardless of whether or not it is legal.*

#### (8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion

Select from:

- 2025

### Palm oil

#### (8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- No-deforestation

#### (8.7.1.2) Your organization's definition of "no-deforestation" or "no-conversion"

Conagra Brands' no-deforestation strategy includes various key principals of the AFi framework, which defines deforestation as the loss of natural forest as a result of: 1) conversion to agriculture or other non-forest land use; 2) conversion to a tree plantation; or 3) severe and sustained degradation. Loss of natural forest that meets this definition is considered to be deforestation regardless of whether or not it is legal.

#### (8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion

Select from:

2025

### Cattle products

#### (8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

No-deforestation

#### (8.7.1.2) Your organization's definition of "no-deforestation" or "no-conversion"

Conagra Brands' no-deforestation strategy includes various key principals of the AFi framework, which defines deforestation as the loss of natural forest as a result of: 1) conversion to agriculture or other non-forest land use; 2) conversion to a tree plantation; or 3) severe and sustained degradation. Loss of natural forest that meets this definition is considered to be deforestation regardless of whether or not it is legal.

#### (8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion

Select from:

2025

### Soy

#### (8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

No-deforestation

#### (8.7.1.2) Your organization's definition of "no-deforestation" or "no-conversion"

Conagra Brands' no-deforestation strategy includes various key principals of the AFi framework, which defines deforestation as the loss of natural forest as a result of: 1) conversion to agriculture or other non-forest land use; 2) conversion to a tree plantation; or 3) severe and sustained degradation. Loss of natural forest that meets this definition is considered to be deforestation regardless of whether or not it is legal.

#### **(8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion**

Select from:

2025

### **Cocoa**

#### **(8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

No-deforestation

#### **(8.7.1.2) Your organization's definition of "no-deforestation" or "no-conversion"**

Conagra Brands' no-deforestation strategy includes various key principals of the AFi framework, which defines deforestation as the loss of natural forest as a result of: 1) conversion to agriculture or other non-forest land use; 2) conversion to a tree plantation; or 3) severe and sustained degradation. Loss of natural forest that meets this definition is considered to be deforestation regardless of whether or not it is legal.

#### **(8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion**

Select from:

2025

**(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities.**

	DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity	% of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF in the reporting year
Timber products	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, deforestation-free (DF) status assessed	99
Palm oil	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, deforestation-free (DF) status assessed	100
Cattle products	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, deforestation-free (DF) status assessed	86
Soy	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, deforestation-free (DF) status assessed	100
Cocoa	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, deforestation-free (DF) status assessed	89

**(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?**

	GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated
Timber products	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but plan to do so in the next two years
Palm oil	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but plan to do so in the next two years

	GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated
Cattle products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but plan to do so in the next two years
Soy	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but plan to do so in the next two years
Cocoa	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but plan to do so in the next two years

## C9. Environmental performance - Water security

### (9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

Yes

#### (9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

##### Row 1

##### (9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Facilities

##### (9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Excluded facilities include dry warehouses, sales offices, and corporate offices including Conagra Brands Chicago headquarters and Omaha Campus

### (9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Data is not available

### (9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Insufficient data, lack of operational control, and immaterial volumes of water use

### (9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

- Less than 1%

### (9.1.1.8) Please explain

*These facilities only use sanitary water*

**(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?**

### **Total withdrawals**

#### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

15253

#### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

### **Total discharges**

### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

12943

### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

### Total consumption

### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

2310

### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

**(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.**

### (9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

Yes

### (9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

3257

### (9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

#### (9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

21.35

#### (9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

- WRI Aqueduct

#### (9.2.4.9) Please explain

*Conagra Brands' facilities in Aurora, Denver, Fayetteville, Irapuato, Maple Grove, and Oakdale are located in areas defined as water stressed in FY24. The proportion of total water usage from these sites is approximately 21% of total water use.*

#### (9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

- No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

#### (9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

##### (9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

- Yes

##### (9.14.2) Definition used to classify low water impact

*Based on the HowGood Water Smart attribute. Additional information available at [www.howgood.com](http://www.howgood.com).*

##### (9.14.4) Please explain

Alexia® Mexican-Style Street Corn qualified for HowGood’s “Water Smart” attribute, meaning this product’s ingredients use less water to grow than 80% of all other food products assessed by HowGood. HowGood’s ingredient water risk assessment considers the average amount of water required to grow an ingredient and the level of water scarcity in the region where the ingredient is sourced, among other factors.

## **(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?**

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

## **(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?**

### **(9.15.3.1) Primary reason**

Select from:

- Important but not an immediate business priority

### **(9.15.3.2) Please explain**

*Conagra Brands is focused on being a good water steward in our production facilities. Potential water savings are identified as part of our capital investment process and a key performance indicator for water treatment and sanitation vendors. In addition, we have prioritized water reduction efforts, such as facility enhancements, behavioral improvements and enhanced controls at those facilities located in high-risk areas with meaningful water usage. Please see the Conagra Brands Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2024>*