

# Conagra Brands CDP Report 2024



**DISCLOSURE INSIGHT ACTION**

# Contents

<b>C1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>6</b>
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response? .....	6
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response. ....	6
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.....	6
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years. ....	6
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?.....	7
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary. ....	7
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)? .....	7
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.....	8
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?.....	8
(1.11) Are greenhouse gas emissions and/or water-related impacts from the production, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or the consumption of your products relevant to your current CDP disclosure?.....	9
(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source. ....	10
<b>C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities .....</b>	<b>14</b>
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? .....	14
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts? .....	15
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?.....	15
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities. ....	15
<b>C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities .....</b>	<b>24</b>
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? .....	24
(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent? .....	24
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	27
<b>C4. Governance.....</b>	<b>28</b>

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body? .....	28
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?.....	29
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).....	29
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment? .....	32
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?.....	32
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication. ....	32
<b>C5. Business strategy .....</b>	<b>34</b>
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?.....	34
<b>C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach .....</b>	<b>34</b>
(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data. ....	34
<b>C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change .....</b>	<b>37</b>
(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?.....	37
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	37
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year? .....	37
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.....	38
(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.....	38
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure? .....	38
(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure. ....	38
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions. ....	43
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e? .....	45
(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e? .....	45
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions. ....	45
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions. ....	51
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements. ....	51

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.....	52
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?.....	55
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure? .....	55
(7.13) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?.....	55
(7.18) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure? .....	55
(7.18.2) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category.....	56
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken. ....	56
(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.....	57
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.....	58
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.....	60
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year? .....	61
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.....	61
(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets. ....	64
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?.....	68
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.....	68
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?.....	71
<b>C8. Environmental performance - Forests .....</b>	<b>71</b>
(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data? .....	71
(8.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions. ....	72
(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year? .....	77
(8.7.1) Provide details on your no-deforestation or no-conversion target that was active during the reporting year.....	79
(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?.....	81
<b>C9. Environmental performance - Water security .....</b>	<b>82</b>
(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?.....	82
(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions. ....	82

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?..... 83

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change. .... 83

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?..... 84

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?..... 84

## C1. Introduction

### (1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

### (1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

USD

### (1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

#### (1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

#### (1.3.3) Description of organization

*Conagra Brands, Inc. (NYSE: CAG), is one of North America's leading branded food companies. We combine a 100-year history of making quality food with agility and a relentless focus on collaboration and innovation. The company's portfolio is continuously evolving to satisfy consumers' ever-changing food preferences. Conagra's brands include Birds Eye, Duncan Hines, Healthy Choice, Marie Callender's, Reddi-wip, Slim Jim, Angie's BOOMCHICKAPOP, and many more. As a corporate citizen, we aim to do what's right for our business, our employees, our communities, and the world. Headquartered in Chicago, Conagra Brands generated fiscal 2024 net sales of more than 12 billion. For more information, visit [www.conagrabrands.com](http://www.conagrabrands.com). Information in this disclosure reflects best estimates given existing data systems.*

### (1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	05/28/2023	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?**

12.3

**(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.**

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

**(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?**

	Does your organization use this unique identifier?	Provide your unique identifier
Ticker symbol	Select from:	NYSE:CAG

	Does your organization use this unique identifier?	Provide your unique identifier
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	

**(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.**

Select all that apply

- Canada
- Mexico
- United States of America

**(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?**

**(1.8.1) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?**

Select from:

- Yes, for all facilities

**(1.8.2) Comment**

*Our headquarters is located in Chicago, Illinois. We also operate general offices, shared service centers, product development facilities, distribution facilities and warehouses. Please see our latest Citizenship Report <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023> for a map of Conagra Brands production facilities and corporate offices.*

**(1.11) Are greenhouse gas emissions and/or water-related impacts from the production, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or the consumption of your products relevant to your current CDP disclosure?**

## **Production**

### **(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts**

*Select from:*

Value chain (excluding own land)

### **(1.11.2) Primary reason emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant**

*Select from:*

Do not own/manage land

## **Processing/Manufacturing**

### **(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts**

*Select from:*

Direct operations

## **Distribution**

### **(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts**

*Select from:*

Both direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

## Consumption

### (1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

No

### (1.11.2) Primary reason emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

## (1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.

### Timber products

#### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

#### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

Retailing

#### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

Paper

Primary packaging

Secondary packaging

Tertiary packaging

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

## Palm oil

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Manufacturing

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Crude palm kernel oil (CPKO)
- Crude palm oil (CPO)
- Palm kernel oil derivatives
- Refined palm oil
- By-products (e.g. glycerin, gelatin)

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

## Cattle products

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

Manufacturing

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

Beef

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

Yes, disclosing

## Soy

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

Manufacturing

### (1.22.3) Indicate if you have direct soy and/or embedded soy in your value chain

Select from:

- Mixture of embedded soy and direct soy

### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- No, other reason, please specify: The total volume is only calculated for direct soy procured, unable to calculate embedded soy

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Soybean meal
- Soybean oil
- Soy derivatives
- Whole soybeans

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- Yes, disclosing

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*The total volume is only calculated for direct soy procured, unable to calculate embedded soy.*

## Cocoa

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- Sourced

## (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

Manufacturing

## (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

Yes, disclosing

## C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

	From (years)	Is your long-term time horizon open ended?	To (years)
Short-term	0	Select from:	2
Medium-term	2	Select from:	5
Long-term	5	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	10

**(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?**

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies only

**(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?**

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

**(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.**

**Row 1**

**(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- Dependencies

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

- Quantitative only

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

*Select from:*

- Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

- Short-term

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

- Site-specific
- Local

## (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

### Other

- External consultants

## (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- NGOs
- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Local communities

## (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

## (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*Climate change affects agricultural industries throughout the world and is a fundamental challenge to food production. It is dramatically affecting how we source raw ingredients to make our food, and how we manage energy use in our facilities and across our value chain. Conagra Brands' 2030 science-based climate change goals were validated by the Science Based Target initiative (SBTi), an ambitious corporate climate effort focused on leading the way to a zero carbon economy. Please see our Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023>*

### Row 2

## (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Forests

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- Dependencies

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

- Quantitative only

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

*Select from:*

- Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

- Short-term

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

- Site-specific
- Local

## (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

### Other

- External consultants

## (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Regulators
- Suppliers

## (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

## (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*As part of our ongoing Citizenship Strategy, Conagra is dedicated to mitigating deforestation risk in our operations and supply chains for critical primary deforestation-linked commodities in line with the Accountability Framework initiative (AFi) framework. This summary outlines our efforts and progress towards achieving a no-deforestation footprint for these commodities. Strategy: Conagra's no-deforestation strategy is focused on beef, palm oil, soy, cocoa and timber products used for product packaging, which we have identified as critical primary deforestation linked commodities. Our Code of Conduct for Suppliers specifies that we will not source certain commodities from areas designated as high risk for deforestation (Conagra utilizes resources such as CDP's list of high-risk areas based on reports by World Wildlife Fund, Forest 500 (a Global Canopy Project), and the Tropical Forest Alliance). We seek to do business with suppliers who have eliminated deforestation activities from their operations or supply chain or who have made commitments to eliminate deforestation activities from their operations or supply chain by 2025, which include commitments for reforestation and agroforestry efforts to support biodiversity. Conagra's no-deforestation strategy strives to achieve no deforestation sourcing in alignment with AFi principles across these identified critical primary deforestation linked commodities by December 31, 2025. Approach: In fiscal 2023, we took significant steps forward by implementing stricter no-deforestation compliance criteria. These measures align our approach closely with the AFi principles and provide clear guidance for achieving supply chains in agriculture and forestry that are derived from no-deforestation areas. Additionally, we remain committed to preserving other natural ecosystems and upholding human rights. We leverage resources from Proforest and Consumer Goods Forum Forest Positive Coalition when making supplier compliance assessments. Please see our Citizenship report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023>*

### Row 3

#### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

Water

#### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

Dependencies

#### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

Direct operations

#### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

Quantitative only

#### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

*Select from:*

Annually

#### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

Short-term

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Suppliers

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*Part of our Citizenship Strategy includes actively addressing water risk that may impact our operations. Our water resource strategy guides how we actively manage water resources across our value chain, taking into account both water use and water quality. Nearly 55% of our operational water comes from areas on the lower end of the World Resources Institute (WRI) water risk spectrum. WRI is a global nonprofit organization that works with leaders in government, business and civil society to research, design and carry out practical solutions that simultaneously improve people's lives and support nature. More information on water risk management practices is included in our Citizenship report: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023>*

## Row 4

### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Plastics

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- Dependencies

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

- Quantitative only

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

*Select from:*

- Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

- Short-term

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

- Site-specific

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Suppliers

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*Packaging serves a critical role in maintaining both food freshness and safety, but waste from plastic packaging is a growing concern. Conagra's dedication to innovation extends to the packaging we use and we are seeking to reduce waste derived from our packaging through thoughtful design and by using renewable and more readily recyclable or compostable materials. Conagra's strategy to minimize packaging waste and support product recovery is focused on improving packaging design in ways that allow consumers to recycle via various recycling systems to help keep more of our packaging out of waste streams and support a closed loop recovery system. In fiscal year 2023, approximately 93% of Conagra's packaging materials by sourced volume met our renewable, recyclable or compostable by 2025 sustainable packaging goal success metrics, equal to our fiscal year 2022 reported progress (percentage based on assessed volumes directly sourced, meaning purchased by us for use for primary consumer and secondary packaging material, for products manufactured in our facilities). Movement toward responsible packaging design and adoption of alternative materials as part of our efforts to meet our 2025 goal has been impacted in part by recent industry-wide shortages of materials integral to our packaging waste reduction research and development program. See more information in our Citizenship report:*

<https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023>

### C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

**(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

	Environmental risks identified
Climate change	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, only within our direct operations
Forests	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain
Water	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, only within our direct operations
Plastics	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, only within our direct operations

**(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?**

**Row 1**

#### **(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin**

**United States of America**

Mississippi River

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

2

**(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

Select from:

- 1-25%

**Row 2**

**(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin**

**United States of America**

- Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

1

**(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

Select from:

- 1-25%

### Row 3

#### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

##### United States of America

- Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

#### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations

#### (3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

2

#### (3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

*Select from:*

- 1-25%

### Row 4

#### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

##### Mexico

- Santiago

#### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations

### (3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

### (3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

**(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

#### Climate change

### (3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

#### Forests

### (3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

No

### (3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

No standardized procedure

## Water

### (3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

No

### (3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

No standardized procedure

## C4. Governance

### (4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

	Board of directors or equivalent governing body
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

**(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?**

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

**(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

**Climate change**

**(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility**

**Committee**

- Corporate responsibility committee

## (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

### **Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities**

- ✓ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ✓ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ✓ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

### **Engagement**

- ✓ Managing engagement in landscapes and/or jurisdictions
- ✓ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues
- ✓ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements
- ✓ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

### **Policies, commitments, and targets**

- ✓ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ✓ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ✓ Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- ✓ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ✓ Setting corporate environmental targets

### **Strategy and financial planning**

- ✓ Developing a climate transition plan
- ✓ Implementing a climate transition plan
- ✓ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ✓ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ✓ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ✓ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ✓ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues
- ✓ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues
- ✓ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Our Board of Directors oversees our Citizenship Strategy across all four of our strategic pillars of Good Food, Responsible Sourcing, Better Planet and Stronger Communities, both directly and through its standing committees. The Board and its committees review our Citizenship Strategy on a regular basis and receive updates from management on our initiatives, risks and progress toward our goals.*

### Forests

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

**Committee**

- Corporate responsibility committee

### Water

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

**Committee**

- Corporate responsibility committee

## Biodiversity

### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

#### Committee

Corporate responsibility committee

**(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?**

	External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment	Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals	Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to have one in the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?**

*Select from:*

Yes

**(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.**

## Row 1

### (4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In voluntary sustainability reports

### (4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Governance
- Emission targets
- Emissions figures
- Risks & Opportunities
- Value chain engagement
- Water accounting figures
- Deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status metrics

### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

<https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023>

#### **(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication**

[conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023.pdf](#)

### **C5. Business strategy**

#### **(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?**

##### **(5.2.1) Transition plan**

Select from:

No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

##### **(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world**

Select from:

Other, please specify: Conagra's current SBTi aligned climate goals are aligned with 2 degrees. We are in the process of reviewing these goals against SBTi's current science-based guidance which is aligned to a 1.5 degrees Celsius scenario.

##### **(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world**

*When setting its 2030 science-based climate change goals, Conagra Brands worked with the Science Based Target initiative (SBTi) to validate its goals as science-based. Conagra is in the process of re-evaluating its goals and will engage with SBTi whose standards currently align with a 1.5 degrees Celsius scenario.*

### **C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach**

#### **(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.**

##### **Climate change**

## (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Conagra Brands focuses our resources in key areas where we can drive positive change across our value chain. Climate change, water resources, deforestation/preserving biodiversity, and waste are the most significant to our business and, therefore, key areas of focus for our sustainability programs. We utilize an operational boundary for our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions goals consistent with SBTi standards and have applied an operational approach across all of these significant areas.*

### Forests

## (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Conagra Brands focuses our resources in key areas where we can drive positive change across our value chain. Climate change, water resources, deforestation/preserving biodiversity, and waste are the most significant to our business and, therefore, key areas of focus for our sustainability programs. We utilize an operational boundary for our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions goals consistent with SBTi standards and have applied an operational approach across all of these significant areas.*

### Water

## (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Conagra Brands focuses our resources in key areas where we can drive positive change across our value chain. Climate change, water resources, deforestation/preserving biodiversity, and waste are the most significant to our business and, therefore, key areas of focus for our sustainability programs. We utilize an operational boundary for our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions goals consistent with SBTi standards and have applied an operational approach across all of these significant areas.*

### Plastics

## (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Conagra Brands focuses our resources in key areas where we can drive positive change across our value chain. Climate change, water resources, deforestation/preserving biodiversity, and waste are the most significant to our business and, therefore, key areas of focus for our sustainability programs. We utilize an operational boundary for our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions goals consistent with SBTi standards and have applied an operational approach across all of these significant areas.*

### Biodiversity

## (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Conagra Brands focuses our resources in key areas where we can drive positive change across our value chain. Climate change, water resources, deforestation/preserving biodiversity, and waste are the most significant to our business and, therefore, key areas of focus for our sustainability programs. We utilize an operational boundary for our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions goals consistent with SBTi standards and have applied an operational approach across all of these significant areas.*

## C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

### (7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

#### (7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### (7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.**

Select all that apply

- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- US EPA Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule
- The Climate Registry: General Reporting Protocol
- US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Indirect Emissions From Purchased Electricity

**(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.**

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

**(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?**

Select from:

- Yes

**(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.**

Row 1

### (7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

*Corporate Jet Hanger*

### (7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

- Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

- Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.5) Relevance of market-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

- Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0

### (7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

*The hangar is leased and electricity use from this facility represents a negligible contribution to overall emissions compared to manufacturing facilities and other company activities. Emissions from jet fuel are included in the inventory reported in this disclosure.*

### (7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

*Emissions percentage was estimated based on the electricity and natural gas usage at this site for FY21, compared to total energy use for sites included in the Scope 1 and 2 boundary. This site represented approximately 0.03% of the total electricity and natural gas of our portfolio.*

## Row 2

### (7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

*Conagra Brand's Sales Offices*

### (7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

- Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

- Emissions are not relevant

### (7.4.1.5) Relevance of market-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

- Emissions are not relevant

#### (7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.1

#### (7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

*Conagra Brands has not yet integrated our sales offices into our reporting. These offices are leased and present a negligible contribution to our overall emissions compared to our manufacturing facilities.*

#### (7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

*We do not receive utility usage data for these facilities. Emissions were estimated based on the square footage for our offices in Mississauga, ON and Rogers, AK and average electricity intensity consumption data for office buildings of similar size classes and geographic regions (CBECS, 2016). Based on these estimates, electricity at these sites represents approximately 0.07% of total usage across our portfolio.*

### Row 3

#### (7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

*Conagra Brands' Center for Food Design (Chicago R&D Kitchen)*

#### (7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

*Select all that apply*

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)

#### (7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

*Select from:*

- Emissions are not relevant

#### (7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are not relevant

#### (7.4.1.5) Relevance of market-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are not relevant

#### (7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.1

#### (7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

*Emissions from this facility are negligible compared to our manufacturing sites.*

#### (7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

*Emissions percentage was estimated based on the electricity and natural gas usage at this site for FY21, compared to total energy use for sites included in the Scope 1 and 2 boundary. This site represented approximately 0.13% of total electricity use and 0.007% of natural gas usage for our facilities.*

### Row 5

#### (7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

*Ancillary purchased goods and services*

#### (7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

#### (7.4.1.6) Relevance of Scope 3 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are not relevant

#### (7.4.1.9) Estimated percentage of total Scope 3 emissions this excluded source represents

3

#### (7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

*Our science-based scope 3 target includes emissions from purchased ingredients and packaging and excludes ancillary goods and services such as cleaning and sanitation, travel agencies, water/sewer utilities. These excluded categories were evaluated for fiscal year 2020 as part of our submission to the Science Based Targets Initiative.*

### (7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

#### Scope 1

##### (7.5.1) Base year end

05/31/2020

##### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

411867

##### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This target uses a base year of fiscal year 2020 (June 2019 – May 2020). The target covers Scope 1 emissions from owned facilities and operations. This target was approved by SBTi in early 2021.*

#### Scope 2 (location-based)

### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

05/31/2020

### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

415963

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*This target uses a base year of fiscal year 2020 (June 2019 – May 2020). The target covers Scope 2 emissions from owned facilities and operations. This target was approved by SBTi in early 2021.*

## **Scope 2 (market-based)**

### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

05/31/2020

### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

415963

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*This target uses a base year of fiscal year 2020 (June 2019 – May 2020). The target covers Scope 2 emissions from owned facilities and operations. This target was approved by SBTi in early 2021.*

**(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?**

	Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Methodological details
Reporting year	392471	<i>Our data pull for CDP reporting aligns with the values reported in the FY23 Citizenship Report.</i>

**(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?**

	Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)	Methodological details
Reporting year	401748	366582	<i>Our data pull for CDP reporting aligns with the values reported in the FY23 Citizenship Report.</i>

**(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.**

**Purchased goods and services**

**(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

## (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

8154228

## (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average product method

## (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

## (7.8.5) Please explain

*The most impactful purchased goods and services for Conagra Brands are the ingredients and packaging used to make our products, representing more than 95% of the estimated Scope 3 emissions in this category. Other purchased goods and services outside of ingredients and packaging were excluded from this value. This is consistent with the Scope 3 boundary for our Science-Based Target. Scope 3 emissions were calculated for purchased ingredients and packaging materials using life cycle emissions benchmarks for commodities purchased. Benchmarks were based on meta-analyses of life cycle data (e.g., the FAO Global Livestock Environmental Assessment Model [GLEAM]) covering farm level (or raw material extraction) through processing, with region-specific factors used where possible in alignment with Conagra's sourcing practices.*

## Capital goods

## (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

## (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Upstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Waste generated in operations

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Business travel

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Employee commuting

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

## Upstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*Emissions from this category are not applicable because Conagra Brands does not have any upstream leased assets.*

### **Downstream transportation and distribution**

#### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Relevant, not yet calculated

### **Processing of sold products**

#### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Relevant, not yet calculated

### **Use of sold products**

#### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Relevant, not yet calculated

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*Emissions for this category were calculated in 2020 as part of our submission to the Science Based Targets Initiative. This category is excluded from our scope 3 target boundary*

### **End of life treatment of sold products**

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Emissions for this category were calculated in 2020 as part of our submission to the Science Based Targets Initiative. This category is excluded from our scope 3 target boundary*

## Downstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Emissions from this category are not applicable because Conagra Brands does not lease assets to other entities.*

## Franchises

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Conagra Brands is not involved in any franchise operations; therefore, the greenhouse gas emissions associated with the operation of franchises are not a relevant source of Scope 3 emissions.*

## Investments

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Conagra Brands has investments in several joint ventures that have been determined to be insignificant to scope 3 emissions.*

### Other (upstream)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*No additional emissions sources were required as part of Science-Based Targets setting*

### Other (downstream)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*No additional emissions sources were required as part of Science-Based Targets setting*

**(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.**

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance

**(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.**

**Row 1**

**(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place**

*Select from:*

Annual process

**(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year**

*Select from:*

Complete

### (7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

*Conagra FY2023 CDP Verification Statement Limited\_03112024.pdf*

### (7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

*All*

### (7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISO14064-3

### (7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

*100*

**(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

**Row 1**

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 market-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*Conagra FY2023 CDP Verification Statement Limited\_03112024.pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*All*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISO14064-3

### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

*100*

**Row 2**

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

- Scope 2 location-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

- Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

- Complete

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

- Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*Conagra FY2023 CDP Verification Statement Limited\_03112024.pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*All*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

- ISO14064-3

## (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

**(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?**

Select from:

Decreased

**(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?**

Select from:

Market-based

**(7.13) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?**

Select from:

No

**(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?**

Select from:

No

**(7.18) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.18.2) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category.**

**Row 1**

**(7.18.2.1) Activity**

Select from:

Processing/Manufacturing

**(7.18.2.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

392471

**(7.18.2.4) Methodology**

Select all that apply

Default emissions factor

**(7.18.2.5) Please explain**

*The majority of Conagra Brands' scope 1 emissions result from our processing and manufacturing facilities. The figure provided is our FY23 Scope 1 total for our inventory boundary.*

**(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.**

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

**(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.**

**Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)**

**(7.30.1.1) Heating value**

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

**(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources**

1882978

## Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

78495

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

807767

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

886261

## Total energy consumption

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

78495

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

2690745

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

2769240

**(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.**

**Row 1**

### **(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

- United States of America

### **(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

- Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### **(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**

Select from:

- Electricity

### **(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)**

78495

### **(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used**

Select from:

- US-REC

### **(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

- United States of America

### **(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

- No

**(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.**

**Row 1**

**(7.45.1) Intensity figure**

0.000061827

**(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)**

759053

**(7.45.3) Metric denominator**

Select from:

unit total revenue

**(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total**

12277000000

**(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used**

Select from:

Market-based

**(7.45.6) % change from previous year**

12

**(7.45.7) Direction of change**

Select from:

Decreased

**(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?**

*Select all that apply*

- Absolute target
- Intensity target

**(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.**

**Row 1**

**(7.53.1.1) Target reference number**

*Select from:*

- Abs 1

**(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?**

*Select from:*

- Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

**(7.53.1.4) Target ambition**

*Select from:*

- Well-below 2°C aligned

**(7.53.1.5) Date target was set**

04/30/2020

**(7.53.1.6) Target coverage**

*Select from:*

- Organization-wide

### **(7.53.1.8) Scopes**

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2

### **(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method**

Select from:

Market-based

### **(7.53.1.11) End date of base year**

05/31/2020

### **(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

411867

### **(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

415963

### **(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

### **(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

827830.000

### **(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1**

100

**(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

12/31/2030

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

25

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

620872.500

**(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

392471

**(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

366582

**(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

759053.000

**(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

### (7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

33.23

### (7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

### (7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*In 2020 we established this science-based target using FY2020 (June 2019-May 2020) as a base year covering absolute scope 1 and 2 emissions from company operations. This target was approved by SBTi in early 2021. The exclusions for our target include Conagra Brands' Sales Offices, Corporate Jet Hanger, and Center for Food Design. Our data pull for CDP reporting is reflective of the information in the FY23 Citizenship Report.*

### (7.53.1.83) Target objective

*25% reduction in Scope 1 & 2 by 2030*

### (7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*In fiscal year 2023, 4.7% of our total energy consumed came from renewable sources. Our Science Based Target initiative will push us to find new solutions to address our overall energy usage and efficiency, as well as investigate new renewable energy options.*

## (7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.

### Row 1

### (7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Int 1

### (7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

### (7.53.2.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- Well-below 2°C aligned

### (7.53.2.5) Date target was set

04/30/2020

### (7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

### (7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 3

### (7.53.2.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

- Category 1: Purchased goods and services

### (7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

- Metric tons CO2e per unit of production

**(7.53.2.12) End date of base year**

05/31/2020

**(7.53.2.15) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

3.0867

**(7.53.2.32) Intensity figure in base year for total Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

3.0867000000

**(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

3.0867000000

**(7.53.2.36) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services covered by this Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services intensity figure**

96

**(7.53.2.53) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories) covered by this total Scope 3 intensity figure**

76

**(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure**

76

**(7.53.2.55) End date of target**

12/31/2030

**(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

20

**(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

2.4693600000

**(7.53.2.62) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

2.69

**(7.53.2.79) Intensity figure in reporting year for total Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

2.6900000000

**(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

2.6900000000

**(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

Yes, it covers land-related and non-land related emissions (e.g. SBT approved before the release of FLAG target-setting guidance)

**(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year**

64.26

**(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

Underway

### **(7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

*This target uses a base year of fiscal year 2020 (June 2019 – May 2020). The target covers Scope 3 emissions from purchased goods and services per metric tonne of material (ingredients and packaging) sourced. This target was approved by SBTi in early 2021. The target boundary includes emissions from purchased ingredients and packaging and excludes ancillary purchased goods and services which represent a small portion of emissions.*

### **(7.53.2.86) Target objective**

*20% reduction in Scope 3 emissions from purchased goods and services by 20% per metric tonne of material sourced by 2030*

### **(7.53.2.87) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year**

*In fiscal year 2023, we estimate that our Scope 3 emissions decreased approximately 12.9% per metric ton of material sourced from our 2020 baseline, which brings us approximately over half of the way to our 2030 goal. In addition, we have reduced our estimated absolute in scope emissions approximately 11.7% from our 2020 baseline. Scope 3 emissions 2030 goal progress has normalized from fiscal year 2021's 9.8% intensity reduction due to post-COVID reductions of low-carbon ingredient sourcing volumes, primarily tomatoes.*

## **(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?**

*Select all that apply*

Other climate-related targets

### **(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.**

#### **Row 1**

#### **(7.54.2.1) Target reference number**

*Select from:*

Oth 1

#### **(7.54.2.2) Date target was set**

*01/30/2020*

### (7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

### (7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Absolute

### (7.54.2.5) Target type: category & Metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

**Resource consumption or efficiency**

Other resource consumption or efficiency, please specify: Percent of packaging made with renewable, recyclable, or compostable materials

### (7.54.2.7) End date of base year

05/31/2020

### (7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

84

### (7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/31/2025

### (7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

100

### (7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

93

### (7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

56.2500000000

### (7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

### (7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

Other, please specify: U.S. Plastics Pact

### (7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*For purposes of this goal, (1) we include only primary consumer packaging material volumes, and secondary packaging material volumes consistent with our CDP deforestation risk disclosure (such as rigid resin, flexible resin, plant fiber packaging materials (serving bowls and corrugate boxes), glass and metal packaging and we exclude totes, corrugate displays, multiwall bags, bulk bags, drum, valves and actuators, inner liners and miscellaneous packaging not specifically identified in our procurement data systems); (2) we define as renewable all material composed of biomass from a living source that can be continually replenished, such as tree fiber, other plant fiber or other bio-based packaging materials; and (3) we define as recyclable all items able to be recycled via third-party definitions (such as recyclable packaging materials are defined as “widely recyclable,” “check locally,” or “store drop off” by How2Recycle and packaging materials included in consumer take-back systems, such as TerraCycle) although we acknowledge that infrastructure may not exist to allow for consumer recycling in all places where our products are sold; and (4) we define as compostable materials meeting third-party home or industrial compostable standards and/or compostable labelling requirements such as How2Compost. We intend to update these definitions to reflect new innovations and standards for renewable, recyclable and compostable packaging materials.*

### (7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*Following the success of our 2017 strategy to increase our use of plant-based trays, bowls and other lower plastic content packaging, we are currently reassessing our packaging strategy, including evaluating the accuracy and quality of available data, potential metrics and indicators to measure performance, external benchmarks and potential verification processes. We strive to reduce waste and measure our progress accurately and transparently. We continue to further our packaging strategy by identifying materials that have demonstrated a year-over-year plastic reduction independent of sales volume, designing packaging with less material, and testing and adopting recycled packaging content that meets our performance and safety standards. Our ability to meet our packaging goals is dependent on our ability to invest in a range of alternative packaging, technologies, infrastructure and processes while preserving consumer access to quality, affordable food. Additionally, we are reliant on the continued evolution, and the availability and reliability, of technology related to renewable and recyclable plastic, availability of supply, regulatory requirements including food safety, consumer rejection and financial viability of alternative packaging.*

**(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?**

Select from:

No

**C8. Environmental performance - Forests**

**(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?**

	Exclusion from disclosure
Timber products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Palm oil	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Cattle products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Soy	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Cocoa	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

## **(8.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.**

### **Timber products**

#### **(8.1.1.1) Exclusion**

Select from:

- Business activities

#### **(8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion**

*Conagra's no deforestation sourcing strategy for Timber used in packaging materials and other manufacturing processes (primary, secondary and tertiary packaging) purchased by us for products manufactured in our facilities, excludes private label and foodservice products. Information available to us at the time of our assessment allowed for at least 90% of our applicable buy for each commodity category to be assessed against our no deforestation criteria.*

#### **(8.1.1.3) Value chain stage**

Select from:

- Direct operations

#### **(8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion**

Select from:

- Challenges associated with traceability

#### **(8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data**

Select from:

- No, the volume excluded is unknown

#### **(8.1.1.10) Please explain**

*While we have made significant strides for no deforestation in our supply chain, challenges remain. We acknowledge that despite our best efforts, gathering traceability information for these commodities can be challenging due to our position in the supply chain. We are actively working to enhance our traceability systems*

to improve transparency and identify partnership opportunities with key suppliers. Conagra has conducted initial risk assessments and supply chain mapping for key deforestation linked commodities and is working towards continuous improvement of traceability systems and risk assessment processes for these commodities. Please see the Conagra Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023>

## Palm oil

### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Business activities

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Conagra's no deforestation sourcing strategy for palm oil used in palm oil (palm, palm kernel, and all blended oil) purchased by us for products manufactured in our facilities, excludes private label and foodservice products. Information available to us at the time of our assessment allowed for at least 90% of our applicable buy for each commodity category to be assessed against our no deforestation criteria.

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Challenges associated with traceability

### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

- No, the volume excluded is unknown

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*While we have made significant strides for no deforestation in our supply chain, challenges remain. We acknowledge that despite our best efforts, gathering traceability information for these commodities can be challenging due to our position in the supply chain. We are actively working to enhance our traceability systems to improve transparency and identify partnership opportunities with key suppliers. Conagra has conducted initial risk assessments and supply chain mapping for key deforestation linked commodities and is working towards continuous improvement of traceability systems and risk assessment processes for these commodities. Please see the Conagra Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023>*

## Cattle products

### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Business activities

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Conagra's no deforestation sourcing strategy for cattle (including fresh, frozen, cooked, and Kosher) purchased by us for products manufactured in our facilities, excludes private label and foodservice products. Information available to us at the time of our assessment allowed for at least 90% of our applicable buy for each commodity category to be assessed against our no deforestation criteria.*

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Challenges associated with traceability

### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

- No, the volume excluded is unknown

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*While we have made significant strides for no deforestation in our supply chain, challenges remain. We acknowledge that despite our best efforts, gathering traceability information for these commodities can be challenging due to our position in the supply chain. We are actively working to enhance our traceability systems to improve transparency and identify partnership opportunities with key suppliers. Conagra has conducted initial risk assessments and supply chain mapping for key deforestation linked commodities and is working towards continuous improvement of traceability systems and risk assessment processes for these commodities. Please see the Conagra Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023>*

## Soy

### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Business activities

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Conagra's no deforestation sourcing strategy for soy (soy, lecithin, soy flour, soybean oil, and soy protein products, excluding soy embedded in manufactured components) purchased by us for products manufactured in our facilities, excludes private label and foodservice products. Information available to us at the time of our assessment allowed for at least 90% of our applicable buy for each commodity category to be assessed against our no deforestation criteria.*

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Challenges associated with traceability

### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

- No, the volume excluded is unknown

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*While we have made significant strides for no deforestation in our supply chain, challenges remain. We acknowledge that despite our best efforts, gathering traceability information for these commodities can be challenging due to our position in the supply chain. We are actively working to enhance our traceability systems to improve transparency and identify partnership opportunities with key suppliers. Conagra has conducted initial risk assessments and supply chain mapping for key deforestation linked commodities and is working towards continuous improvement of traceability systems and risk assessment processes for these commodities. Please see the Conagra Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023>*

## Cocoa

### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

- Business activities

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Conagra's no deforestation sourcing strategy for cocoa (cocoa powder and chocolate excluding cocoa embedded in manufactured components) purchased by us for products manufactured in our facilities, excludes private label and foodservice products. Information available to us at the time of our assessment allowed for at least 90% of our applicable buy for each commodity category to be assessed against our no deforestation criteria.*

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

- Challenges associated with traceability

### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

- No, the volume excluded is unknown

### **(8.1.1.10) Please explain**

*While we have made significant strides for no deforestation in our supply chain, challenges remain. We acknowledge that despite our best efforts, gathering traceability information for these commodities can be challenging due to our position in the supply chain. We are actively working to enhance our traceability systems to improve transparency and identify partnership opportunities with key suppliers. Conagra has conducted initial risk assessments and supply chain mapping for key deforestation linked commodities and is working towards continuous improvement of traceability systems and risk assessment processes for these commodities. Please see the Conagra Citizenship Report for more information: <https://www.conagrabrands.com/citizenship-reports/conagra-brands-citizenship-report-2023>*  
[Add row]

## **(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?**

### **Timber products**

#### **(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

#### **(8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage**

Select from:

Organization-wide (direct operations only)

### **Palm oil**

#### **(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

## (8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide (direct operations only)

## Cattle products

### (8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

### (8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide (direct operations only)

## Soy

### (8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

### (8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide (direct operations only)

## Cocoa

### (8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

## (8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide (direct operations only)

**(8.7.1) Provide details on your no-deforestation or no-conversion target that was active during the reporting year.**

### Timber products

#### (8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- No-deforestation

#### (8.7.1.2) Your organization's definition of "no-deforestation" or "no-conversion"

*Conagra aligns with AFI's definition of deforestation: loss of natural forest as a result of (1) conversion to agriculture or other non-forest land use; (2) conversion to a tree plantation; or (3) severe and sustained degradation. Loss of natural forest that meets this definition is considered to be deforestation regardless of whether or not it is legal and we encourage our suppliers to set no deforestation goals in alignment with this definition.*

#### (8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion

Select from:

- 2025

### Palm oil

#### (8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- No-deforestation

### **(8.7.1.2) Your organization’s definition of “no-deforestation” or “no-conversion”**

*Conagra aligns with AFI’s definition of deforestation: loss of natural forest as a result of (1) conversion to agriculture or other non-forest land use; (2) conversion to a tree plantation; or (3) severe and sustained degradation. Loss of natural forest that meets this definition is considered to be deforestation regardless of whether or not it is legal and we encourage our suppliers to set no deforestation goals in alignment with this definition.*

### **(8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion**

Select from:

2025

## **Cattle products**

### **(8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

No-deforestation

### **(8.7.1.2) Your organization’s definition of “no-deforestation” or “no-conversion”**

*Conagra aligns with AFI’s definition of deforestation: loss of natural forest as a result of (1) conversion to agriculture or other non-forest land use; (2) conversion to a tree plantation; or (3) severe and sustained degradation. Loss of natural forest that meets this definition is considered to be deforestation regardless of whether or not it is legal and we encourage our suppliers to set no deforestation goals in alignment with this definition*

### **(8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion**

Select from:

2025

## **Soy**

### **(8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

No-deforestation

### **(8.7.1.2) Your organization’s definition of “no-deforestation” or “no-conversion”**

*Conagra aligns with AFI’s definition of deforestation: loss of natural forest as a result of (1) conversion to agriculture or other non-forest land use; (2) conversion to a tree plantation; or (3) severe and sustained degradation. Loss of natural forest that meets this definition is considered to be deforestation regardless of whether or not it is legal and we encourage our suppliers to set no deforestation goals in alignment with this definition.*

### **(8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion**

Select from:

2025

## **Cocoa**

### **(8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

No-deforestation

### **(8.7.1.2) Your organization’s definition of “no-deforestation” or “no-conversion”**

*Conagra aligns with AFI’s definition of deforestation: loss of natural forest as a result of (1) conversion to agriculture or other non-forest land use; (2) conversion to a tree plantation; or (3) severe and sustained degradation. Loss of natural forest that meets this definition is considered to be deforestation regardless of whether or not it is legal and we encourage our suppliers to set no deforestation goals in alignment with this definition.*

### **(8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion**

Select from:

2025

## **(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?**

Select from:

Yes

## C9. Environmental performance - Water security

### (9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

Yes

#### (9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

##### Row 1

###### (9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Facilities

###### (9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Excluded facilities include dry warehouses, sales offices, and corporate offices including Conagra Brands Chicago headquarters and Omaha Campus*

###### (9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

Data is not available

###### (9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

Other, please specify: Insufficient data, lack of operational control, and immaterial volumes of water use

### (9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

- Less than 1%

### (9.1.1.8) Please explain

*These facilities only use sanitary water*

**(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?**

	Volume (megaliters/year)
Total withdrawals	16481
Total discharges	13605
Total consumption	2876

**(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.**

### (9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

- Yes

#### (9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

3375

#### (9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

20.48

#### (9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

WRI Aqueduct

#### (9.2.4.9) Please explain

*Conagra Brands' facilities in Irapuato, Maple Grove, Denver, Aurora, Fayetteville, and Oakdale are located in areas defined as water stressed in FY23. The proportion of total water usage from these sites is approximately 20.5% of total water use.*

#### (9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

#### (9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

##### (9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

Yes

##### (9.14.2) Definition used to classify low water impact

*Based on the HowGood Water Smart attribute. Additional information available at [www.howgood.com](http://www.howgood.com).*

#### **(9.14.4) Please explain**

*Our Earth Balance Pressed Extra Virgin Olive Oil Blended Spread qualified for HowGood's "Water Smart" attribute, meaning its ingredient water risk profile is in the top 20% of food products assessed by HowGood. HowGood's ingredient water risk assessment considers the average amount of water required to grow an ingredient and the level of water scarcity in the region where the ingredient is sourced, among other factors.*